

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS F.O. Box 1450

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/814,009		03/31/2004	Alan F. Washburn	31936-CNT1	5559
23589	7590	11/30/2004		EXAM	INER
HOVEY W	ILLIAM	SLLP	TORRES, ALICIA M		
2405 GRAND BLVD., SUITE 400 KANSAS CITY, MO 64108				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
KANSAS CI	KANSAS CITT, MO 04			3671	

DATE MAILED: 11/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding. \cdot

		\sim
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/814,009	WASHBURN, ALAN F.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Alicia M Torres	3671
The MAILING DATE of this communication. Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith.the.correspondence.address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by standard period for reply will, by the Office later than three months after the mice arned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thi fod will apply and will expire SIX (5) MOI stute. cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14	4 September 2004.	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ T	his action is non-final.	
Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16 and 24-37</u> is/are pending in the	he application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) 17-23 is/are withd	Irawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11,14-16,24-29 and 34</u> is/are rej		
7) Claim(s) <u>12,13,30-33,36 and 37</u> is/are object		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum	onte have been received	
2. Certified copies of the priority docum		Application No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the province of the provi		
application from the International Bur	•	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a		t received.
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview	Summary (PTO-413)

U.S. Palent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

Office Action Summary

Part of Paper No./Mail Date 11242004

Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ___

6) Other: _

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Claim Objections

1. Claim 6 is objected to because of the following informalities: it appears "hinge-side section" in line 5 should be changed to -frame side section—. Appropriate correction is required.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Smith et al., hereafter Smith.

Smith discloses a pull-behind mower for cutting ground vegetation, said mower comprising:

a frame (14) adapted to be coupled to a vehicle (12) and rollingly supported on the ground;

a motor (not shown) rigidly coupled to the frame (14);

a mowing deck (70) coupled to the frame (14) for pivotal movement relative to the frame (14) on first (through vertical drive shaft 46, see Figures 5 and 7) and second (through horizontal drive shaft 40, see Figures 6 and 7) intersecting pivot axes; and

a drive train (comprised of parts 38, 40, 42, 44, 46) for drivingly coupling the motor to the deck (70) so that the motor powers the deck (70),

said drive train including a first rotatable drive shaft (46), a second rotatable drive shaft (40), and

a U-joint (32, comprised of parts 24, 26, 28, 30, 50) coupled between the first (46) and second (40) drive shafts for rotation therewith, said U-joint (32) being centered proximate the intersection of the first and second pivot axes, as per claim 1; and

said motor being a gas-powered motor (an engine, see column 2, lines 17, 18), as per claim 34.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 2-10 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith in view of Allen et al., hereafter Allen.

The device is disclosed as applied to claim 1 above. Smith further discloses said deck (70) being pivotable relative to the frame (14) on the first pivot axis between a retracted position (5) and an extended position (1),

said first and second pivot axes being at least substantially perpendicular to one another,

said deck (70) pivoting through a first pivot angle of more than about 20° when pivoted between the extended and retracted positions (see Figures 1 and 5),

said deck (70) pivoting through a second pivot angle of more than about 30° (through shaft 40, see figures 1 and 6), as per claim 3; and

said first pivot axis (through vertical drive shaft 46) being generally upright, as per claim 4; and

said first drive shaft (46) being configured for rotation on the first pivot axis, as per claim 5; and

a mechanism (angle iron 34) operable to inhibit pivoting of the deck (70) on the second pivot axis (through shaft 40), as per claim 35.

However, Smith fails to disclose wherein said deck is pivotable relative to the frame on the second pivot axis between an engaged position and a disengaged position, as per claim 2; and a support arm comprising a frame-side section coupled to the frame and a deck-side section coupled to the deck,

said support arm including a hinge joint for permitting pivoting of the deck-side section relative to the hinge-side section, as per claim 6; and

said hinge joint permitting pivoting of the deck relative to the frame on the second pivot axis, as per claim 7; and

said frame-side section being rotatably coupled to the frame, said frame-side section being rotatable relative to the frame on the first pivot axes, as per claim 8; and

said first and second pivot axes being substantially perpendicular to one another, as per

said first pivot axis being generally upright, as per claim 10.

Allen discloses a similar device wherein said deck (66) is pivotable relative to the frame (16) on the second pivot axis (through pins 40) between an engaged position (see Figure 2) and a disengaged position (see column 2, lines 54-61), as per claim 2; and

a support arm (38) comprising a frame-side section coupled to the frame (16) and a deckside section coupled to the deck (66),

said support arm (38) including a hinge joint (40) for permitting pivoting of the deck-side section relative to the hinge-side section, as per claim 6; and

said hinge joint (40) permitting pivoting of the deck (66) relative to the frame (16) on the second pivot axis (through pins 40), as per claim 7; and

said frame-side section being rotatably coupled to the frame (16), said frame-side section being rotatable relative to the frame (16) on the first pivot axes (through vertical drive shaft 34), as per claim 8; and

said first (through vertical drive shaft 34) and second (through pins 40) pivot axes being substantially perpendicular to one another (see figure 7), as per claim 9; and

said first pivot axis (through vertical drive shaft 34) being generally upright, as per claim 10.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the raising and lowering and pivoting means of Allen on the mower of Smith in order to determine the height at which grass will be cut and so the mower will ride along terrain and obstacles.

Application/Control Number: 10/814,009

Art Unit: 3671

6. Claims 11, 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(ā) as being unpatentable over Dewitt et al., hereafter Dewitt, in view of Scarborough

Dewitt discloses a pull-behind mower for cutting ground vegetation, said mower configured to be pulled behind a vehicle and powered independently of the vehicle, said mower comprising:

a frame (12) presenting a fore end and an aft end;

a hitch (unnumbered) coupled to the fore end and configured to releasably couple the frame (12) to the vehicle in a manner that permits pivoting of the frame (12) relative to the vehicle;

a pair of laterally spaced wheels (unnumbered, located on axle 17) coupled to the aft end and adapted to rollingly support the frame (12) on the ground;

a mowing deck (11) operable to cut vegetation when positioned proximate the ground;
a support arm comprising a frame-side section (43, 46, 49) rotatably coupled to the frame
(12) and a deck-side section (64) rigidly coupled to the deck (11), said frame-side (43, 46, 49)
and deck-side (64) sections being hingedly intercoupled (at pin 50, see Figure 6), as per claim 1;
and

the frame-side section (43, 46, 49) being rotatable relative to the frame (12) on a first pivot axis (around post 38), the frame-side (43, 46, 49) and deck-side (64) sections being hingedly intercoupled on a second pivot axes (through pin 50), the first (around 38) and second (through pin 50) pivot axes being substantially perpendicular to one another, as per claim 14; and

the first (around post 38) and second (through pin 50) pivot axes intersecting one another,

as per claim-15; and-

Application/Control Number: 10/814,009

Art Unit: 3671

the first pivot axis (around post 38) being generally upright, as per claim 16.

However, Dewitt fails to disclose a motor rigidly coupled to the frame and drivingly connected to the deck, so as to power the deck independently of the vehicle, as per claim 1.

Scarborough discloses a similar mower attachment where the motor (100) is rigidly coupled to the frame (12) and drivingly connected to the deck (26), so as to power the deck (26) independently of the vehicle, as per claim 1.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the frame mounted motor of Scarborough on the device of Dewitt in order to isolate the auxiliary motor.

7. Claims 24-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dewitt et al., hereafter Dewitt, in view of Smith et al., hereafter Smith.

Dewitt discloses a pull-behind mower for cutting ground vegetation, said mower configured to be pulled behind a vehicle, said mower comprising:

a frame (12) presenting a fore end and an aft end;

a hitch (unnumbered) coupled to the fore end and configured to releasably couple the frame (12) to the vehicle in a manner that permits pivoting of the frame (12) relative to the vehicle;

a pair of laterally spaced wheels (unnumbered, located on axle 17) coupled to the aft end and adapted to rollingly support the frame (12) on the ground;

a mowing deck (11) operable to cut vegetation when positioned proximate the ground;

a support arm comprising a frame-side section (43, 46, 49) rotatably coupled to the frame (12) and a deck-side section (64) rigidly coupled to the deck (11), said frame-side (43, 46, 49) and deck-side (64) sections being hingedly intercoupled, as per claim 24; and

the frame-side section (43, 46, 49) being rotatable relative to the frame (12) on a first pivot axis (around post 38), the frame-side (43, 46, 49) and deck side (64) sections being hingedly intercoupled on a second pivot axes (through pin 50), the first (around post 38) and second (through pin 50) pivot axes being substantially perpendicular to one another, as per claim 26; and

wherein the first (around post 38) and second (through pin 50) pivot axes intersect one another, as per claim 27; and

the first pivot axis (around post 38) being generally upright, as per claim 28; and a mechanism (top surface of boom 46) operable to inhibit pivoting of the deck on the second pivot axis (through pin 50), as per claim 29.

However, Dewitt fails to disclose a motor for powering the deck; and

a drive train for transferring power from the motor to the deck, said drive train including a first rotatable drive shaft, a second rotatable drive shaft, and a U- joint coupled between the first and second drive shafts, as per claim 24; and

the support arm permitting pivoting of the deck relative to the frame on first and second.

distinct and intersecting pivot axes,

the U-joint being centered proximate the intersection of the first and second pivot axes, as per claim 25.

Smith discloses a similar device including a motor (on the tractor) for powering the deck (70); and

a drive train for transferring power from the motor to the deck (70), said drive train including a first rotatable drive shaft (40), a second rotatable drive shaft (46), and a U- joint (32, comprised of parts 24, 26, 28, 30, 50) coupled between the first (40) and second (46) drive shafts, as per claim 24; and

the support arm (52) permitting pivoting of the deck (70) relative to the frame (14) on first and second distinct and intersecting pivot axes,

the U-joint (32, comprised of parts 24, 26, 28, 30, 50) being centered proximate the intersection of the first and second pivot axes, as per claim 25.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the drive train of Smith on the device of Dewitt in order to avoid obstructions.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 12, 13, 30-33, 36 and 37 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Danuser, Cowling '036, and Parks et al. have been cited as of interest.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alicia M. Torres whose telephone number is 703-305-6953. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 7:00 a.m. 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas B. Will, can be reached at 703-308-3870.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-1113. The fax number for this Group is 703-872-9306.

This B. Will
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group Art Unit 3671

AMT November 24, 2004